

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,166	05/05/2005	Carlos Daniel Silva	101727-2(4)	9954	
27387 73	27387 7590 12/05/2006			EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.			NASSER, ROBERT L		
875 THIRD AVE 18TH FLOOR			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10022				
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	•
(10
\	ľ
Λ	,

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,166	SILVA, CARLOS DANIEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert L. Nasser	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	September 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/534,166

Art Unit: 3735

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for when there is any failure in the overall device, the battery actuates the alarm. Since this limitation was added via amendment, it constitutes new matter. Clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feld et al 6472988 in view of Varis 6254551 and Lehrman et al 5513646. Feld et al shows a box 21 that is free of attachment means including a circuit including a motion detector 44, connected to a micro controller 30, where the controller has a plurality of outputs connected to alarms 5 and 10. It does not state that the motion sensor is an accelerometer. However, Varis teaches that an accelerometer is a known motion sensor. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Feld to use an accelerometer as a motion sensor, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent sensor for

another. In addition, the examiner notes that Lehrman teaches providing a backup battery for supplying power when there is a power failure (see column 5, lines 10-15). The examiner notes that since it supplies power to the alarm, it "activates" the alarm, noting that an alarm need not be sounding to be activated. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected in that there is also a transmission means 60 in Feld, which transmits the signals to a remote computer shown in figure 4. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected in that applicant has not stated that the exact form of transmission module solves a stated problem or is for a particular purpose. As such, the exact form of the circuit would have been a mere matter of design choice for one skilled in the art. Claims 14 is rejected in that the examiner takes official notice that rf and infrared transmission means are known to be interchangeable. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify the combination to use an IR transmitter, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent transmission means for another. Claim 16 is rejected in that the alarm is connected to the microcontroller. The examiner takes official notice that it is known to provide a transistor to feed the alarm.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/534,166

Art Unit: 3735

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,166

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

RLN November 21, 2006

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Polit & Mason